Multiplying a Sequence of Matrices

Suppose we want to multiply a long sequence of matrices $A \times B \times C \times D \dots$

Multiplying an $X \times Y$ matrix by a $Y \times Z$ matrix (using the common algorithm) takes $X \times Y \times Z$ multiplications.

2	3	Γ.		. –	- 13	18	23
3	4	23	3 4	4 5	18	25	32
4	5	L			23	32	41

We would like to avoid big intermediate matrices, and since matrix multiplication is *associative*, we can parenthesise however we want.

Matrix multiplication is *not communitive*, so we cannot permute the order of the matrices without changing the result.

Example

Consider $A \times B \times C \times D$, where A is 30×1 , B is 1×40 , C is 40×10 , and D is 10×25 .

There are three possible parenthesizations:

 $((AB)C)D = 30 \times 1 \times 40 + 30 \times 40 \times 10 + 30 \times 10 \times 25 = 20,700$

 $(AB)(CD) = 30 \times 1 \times 10 + 40 \times 10 \times 25 + 30 \times 40 \times 25 = 41,200$

 $A((BC)D) = 1 \times 40 \times 10 + 1 \times 10 \times 25 + 30 \times 1 \times 25 = 1400$

The order makes a big difference in real computation. How do we find the best order?

Let M(i, j) be the *minimum* number of multiplications necessary to compute $\prod_{k=i}^{j} A_k$.

The key observations are

- The outermost parentheses partition the chain of matricies (i, j) at some k.
- The optimal parenthesization order has optimal ordering on either side of k.

A recurrence for this is:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} M(i,j) &=& Min_{i \leq k \leq j-1}[M(i,k) + M(k+1,j) + d_{i-1}d_kd_j] \\ M(i,j) &=& 0 \end{array}$

If there are n matrices, there are n + 1 dimensions.

A direct recursive implementation of this will be exponential, since there is a lot of duplicated work as in the Fibonacci recurrence.

Divide-and-conquer is seems efficient because there is no overlap, but . . .

There are only $\binom{n}{2}$ substrings between 1 and n. Thus it requires only $\Theta(n^2)$ space to store the optimal cost for each of them.

We can represent all the possibilities in a triangle matrix:

SHOW THE DIAGONAL MATRIX

We can also store the value of k in another triangle matrix to reconstruct to order of the optimal parenthesisation.

The diagonal moves up to the right as the computation progresses. On each element of the kth diagonal |j - i| = k.

For the previous example:

SHOW BIG FIGURE OF THE MATRIX

```
Procedure MatrixOrder
for i = 1 to n do M[i, j] = 0
for diagonal = 1 to n - 1
for i = 1 to n - diagonal do
j = i + diagonal
M[i, j] = \min_{\substack{i=k \\ i=k}}^{j-1} [M[i, k] + M[k + 1, j] + d_{i-1}d_kd_j]
faster(i, j) = k
return [m(1, n)]
```

```
Procedure ShowOrder(i, j)

if (i = j) write (A_i)

else

k = factor(i, j)

write "("

ShowOrder(i, k)

write "*"

ShowOrder (k + 1, j)

write ")"
```

A dynamic programming solution has three components:

- 1. Formulate the answer as a recurrence relation or recursive algorithm.
- 2. Show that the number of different instances of your recurrence is bounded by a polynomial.
- 3. Specify an order of evaluation for the recurrence so you always have what you need.