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1. **Fibonacci Heaps**

*(Hint: This problem is easier than it looks.)*

Consider the recursively defined sequence of Fibonacci heap operations defined by the following function:

```plaintext
function Tall-Heap(T, h, b)
1: if h = 1 then
2: MAKE-FIB-HEAP(T)
3: FIB-HEAP-INSERT(T, b)
4: else if h = 2 then
5: MAKE-FIB-HEAP(T)
6: FIB-HEAP-INSERT(T, b - 1)
7: FIB-HEAP-INSERT(T, b)
8: FIB-HEAP-INSERT(T, b + 1)
9: EXTRACT-MIN(T)
10: else
11: Tall-Heap(T, h - 1, b + 1)
12: FIB-HEAP-INSERT(T, b - 0.5)
13: FIB-HEAP-INSERT(T, b)
14: FIB-HEAP-INSERT(T, b + 0.5)
15: EXTRACT-MIN(T)
16: FIB-HEAP-DELETE(T, b + 0.5)
17: end if
```

(a) Prove by induction on `h` that the Fibonacci heap that results from the call `Tall-Heap(F, h, b)` is a chain of `h - b + 1` nodes.

(b) What is the total time required by the call `Tall-Heap(F, h, b)`? Justify your answer.

(c) Exercise 19.4-1 claims that an `n`-node Fibonacci heap can attain height `Θ(n)`. Prove the claim in that exercise.
1. Fibonacci Heaps, continued.
2. Depth First Search

Below is the text’s depth first search function for directed graphs. Fill in the blanks at lines 5, 9, 11, and 13 with the type of edge discovered and the blank conditions at lines 8 and 10 so that the edges are classified as tree, back, cross, or forward edges. This was suggested as a good exam problem in the lecture of March 30.

function DFS-visit(u)

1: color[u] ← GRAY
2: d[u] ← time ← time + 1
3: for all v ∈ Adj[u] do
4:   if color[v] = WHITE then
5:     __________________________ edge
6:     π[v] ← u
7:     DFS-visit(v)
8:   else if __________________________ then
9:     __________________________ edge
10:   else if __________________________ then
11:     __________________________ edge
12:   else
13:     __________________________ edge
14: end if
15: end for
16: color[u] ← BLACK
17: f[u] ← time ← time + 1
3. **Shortest Paths**

Suppose we assign weights to *vertices* in a graph rather than to *edges*. In this case, the overall length of a path between two vertices is the sum of the weights of all vertices on the path, including the start and end vertices. Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ whose vertices are weighted by the function $w_V(\cdot)$, we can instead get weighted edges by assigning the weight of an edge $(x, y)$ to be

$$w_E(x, y) = \frac{w_V(x) + w_V(y)}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

We want to prove that the shortest path in the vertex-weighted graph is the same as the shortest path in the edge-weighted graph specified by equation (1).

(a) Prove that equal length paths between vertices $u$ and $v$ in the vertex-weighted graph are also equal in the edge-weighted graph.

(b) Prove that if path $P_1$ is shorter than path $P_2$ between the vertices $u$ and $v$ in the vertex-weighted graph, then it is also shorter in the edge-weighted graph.
4. **NP-Completeness**

We are given \( n \) jobs, \( J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_n \), some pairs of which are mutually incompatible and must be scheduled on separate days. Given an \( n \times n \) Boolean matrix \( C \) in which

\[
C_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if jobs } J_i \text{ and } J_j \text{ are incompatible}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

the EXAM3-SCHEDULING problem is to find the fewest number of days needed to schedule the \( n \) jobs. Rephrase this problem as a decision problem and show that it is NP-complete.  
(*Hint*: Think about graph coloring.)
5. **Spanning Tree Approximation**

Consider the following stupid algorithm for finding a spanning tree: examine the edges of the graph in any order, adding an edge to the partial spanning tree if and only if it does not form a cycle with the edges already added.

(a) Show that this algorithm does not approximate the minimum spanning tree within any finite bound. Specifically, give a graph and an edge ordering that results in a spanning tree whose weight is at least $k$ times the weight of the minimum spanning tree, for any $k > 0$.

(*Hint:* Consider a graph of three vertices and three appropriately weighted edges.)

(b) Suppose the edge weights are real numbers in the range 1 to 2. What kind of approximation bound can you give for the stupid algorithm now?