

HW 01: Propositional Logic (v1.1)

This is an ungraded homework. Solve the following problems; we'll discuss answers early in class on August 31. **[v1.1: Typo fixes in bold red]**

1. Throw away as many parentheses from the following proposition as possible:

$$\neg(p \wedge ((\neg q) \rightarrow r)) \vee (s \wedge t \wedge v) \quad \text{[Right paren added before v]}$$

2. Fill in the missing rule names in the proof below. Use the rules that we used in Class 01 (Mon, Aug 24).

$\neg(p \rightarrow q)$	
iff $\neg(\neg p \vee q)$	Defn \rightarrow
iff $\neg\neg p \wedge \neg q$	_____
iff $p \wedge \neg q$	_____

3. Repeat Problem 2 on the following proof. (Some of the lines might need two rules.) Note that the proof shows that the first line is a tautology.

$\neg(p \wedge q) \rightarrow \neg p \vee \neg q$	
iff $\neg\neg(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \vee \neg q)$	Defn \rightarrow
iff $p \wedge q \vee \neg p \vee \neg q$	_____
iff $(p \vee \neg p) \wedge (q \vee \neg p) \vee \neg q$	_____ [\neg added before last q]
$q \vee \neg p \vee \neg q$	_____
$T \vee \neg p$	_____
T	_____

4. Write a formal proof that shows that $p \rightarrow p \vee q$ (sometimes called the “ \vee introduction” rule) is a tautology..
5. Write a formal proof that $\neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \text{ iff } (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \neg p)$. [Hint: Use Problem 2.]
6. Write a formal proof that shows that $p \rightarrow q \rightarrow p \wedge q$ (the “ \wedge **introduction**” rule) is a tautology. **[The \wedge elimination rules are $p \wedge q \rightarrow p$ and $p \wedge q \rightarrow q$.]**