Introduction to Algorithms

6.046J/18.401J



LECTURE 14

Shortest Paths I

- Properties of shortest paths
- Dijkstra's algorithm
- Correctness
- Analysis
- Breadth-first search

Prof. Charles E. Leiserson


INTRODUCTION TO
ALGORITHMS

Paths in graphs

Consider a digraph $G = (V, E)$ with edge-weight function $w : E \to \mathbb{R}$. The ***weight*** of path $p = v_1 \to v_2 \to \cdots \to v_k$ is defined to be

$$w(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w(v_i, v_{i+1}) .$$



Paths in graphs

Consider a digraph $G = (V, E)$ with edge-weight function $w : E \to \mathbb{R}$. The ***weight*** of path $p = v_1 \to v_2 \to \cdots \to v_k$ is defined to be

$$w(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w(v_i, v_{i+1}).$$

Example:



$w(p) = -2$



Shortest paths

A ***shortest path*** from u to v is a path of minimum weight from u to v. The ***shortest-path weight*** from u to v is defined as

$$\delta(u, v) = \min\{w(p) : p \text{ is a path from } u \text{ to } v\}.$$

Note: $\delta(u, v) = \infty$ if no path from u to v exists.



Optimal substructure

Theorem. A subpath of a shortest path is a shortest path.



Optimal substructure

Theorem. A subpath of a shortest path is a shortest path.

Proof. Cut and paste:



Optimal substructure

Theorem. A subpath of a shortest path is a shortest path.

Proof. Cut and paste:



□



Triangle inequality

Theorem. For all $u, v, x \in V$, we have

$$\delta(u, v) \leq \delta(u, x) + \delta(x, v).$$



Triangle inequality

Theorem. For all $u, v, x \in V$, we have

$$\delta(u, v) \le \delta(u, x) + \delta(x, v).$$

Proof.



□

Well-definedness of shortest paths

If a graph G contains a negative-weight cycle, then some shortest paths may not exist.

Well-definedness of shortest paths

If a graph G contains a negative-weight cycle, then some shortest paths may not exist.

Example:





Single-source shortest paths

Problem. From a given source vertex $s \in V$, find the shortest-path weights $\delta(s, v)$ for all $v \in V$.

If all edge weights $w(u, v)$ are *nonnegative*, all shortest-path weights must exist.

IDEA: Greedy.

1. Maintain a set S of vertices whose shortest-path distances from s are known.
2. At each step add to S the vertex $v \in V - S$ whose distance estimate from s is minimal.
3. Update the distance estimates of vertices adjacent to v.



Dijkstra’s algorithm

```
$d[s] \leftarrow 0$
for each $v \in V - \{s\}$
    do $d[v] \leftarrow \infty$
$S \leftarrow \emptyset$
$Q \leftarrow V$          ◁ $Q$ is a priority queue maintaining $V - S$
```



Dijkstra's algorithm

```
$d[s] \leftarrow 0$
for each $v \in V - \{s\}$
    do $d[v] \leftarrow \infty$
$S \leftarrow \emptyset$
$Q \leftarrow V$          ◁ $Q$ is a priority queue maintaining $V - S$
while $Q \neq \emptyset$
    do $u \leftarrow$ EXTRACT-MIN$(Q)$
        $S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}$
        for each $v \in Adj[u]$
            do if $d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v)$
                then $d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + w(u, v)$
```



Dijkstra's algorithm

```
$d[s] \leftarrow 0$
for each $v \in V - \{s\}$
    do $d[v] \leftarrow \infty$
$S \leftarrow \emptyset$
$Q \leftarrow V$          ◁ $Q$ is a priority queue maintaining $V - S$
while $Q \neq \emptyset$
    do $u \leftarrow$ EXTRACT-MIN($Q$)
       $S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}$
       for each $v \in Adj[u]$
           do if $d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v)$
                 then $d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + w(u, v)$
```

relaxation step

Implicit DECREASE-KEY



Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Graph with nonnegative edge weights:





Example of Dijkstra’s algorithm

Initialize:



Q:	A	B	C	D	E
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞

S: {}



Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

"A" ← **EXTRACT-MIN**(Q):



Q:	A	B	C	D	E
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞

S: { A }



Example of Dijkstra’s algorithm

Relax all edges leaving *A*:



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞

S: { *A* }



Example of Dijkstra’s algorithm

“C” ← **EXTRACT-MIN**(Q):



Q:	A	B	C	D	E
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞

S: { A, C }



Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Relax all edges leaving *C*:



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞
		7		11	5

S: { *A*, *C* }



Example of Dijkstra’s algorithm

“*E*” ← EXTRACT-MIN(*Q*):



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞
		7		11	5

S: { *A*, *C*, *E* }



Example of Dijkstra’s algorithm

Relax all edges leaving *E*:



Q:	A	B	C	D	E
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞
		7		11	5
		7		11	

S: { *A*, *C*, *E* }



Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

"B" ← **EXTRACT-MIN(*Q*):**



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞
		7		11	5
		7		11	

S: { *A*, *C*, *E*, *B* }



Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Relax all edges leaving *B*:



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞
		7		11	5
		7		11	
				9	

S: { *A*, *C*, *E*, *B* }



Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

"D" ← **EXTRACT-MIN(*Q*):**



Q:

A	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
0	∞	∞	∞	∞
	10	3	∞	∞
	7		11	5
	7		11	
			9	

S: { *A*, *C*, *E*, *B*, *D* }



Correctness — Part I

Lemma. Initializing $d[s] \leftarrow 0$ and $d[v] \leftarrow \infty$ for all $v \in V - \{s\}$ establishes $d[v] \geq \delta(s, v)$ for all $v \in V$, and this invariant is maintained over any sequence of relaxation steps.



Correctness — Part I

Lemma. Initializing $d[s] \leftarrow 0$ and $d[v] \leftarrow \infty$ for all $v \in V - \{s\}$ establishes $d[v] \geq \delta(s, v)$ for all $v \in V$, and this invariant is maintained over any sequence of relaxation steps.

Proof. Suppose not. Let v be the first vertex for which $d[v] < \delta(s, v)$, and let u be the vertex that caused $d[v]$ to change: $d[v] = d[u] + w(u, v)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} d[v] &< \delta(s, v) && \text{supposition} \\ &\leq \delta(s, u) + \delta(u, v) && \text{triangle inequality} \\ &\leq \delta(s,u) + w(u, v) && \text{sh. path} \leq \text{specific path} \\ &\leq d[u] + w(u, v) && v \text{ is first violation} \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction. □



Correctness — Part II

Lemma. Let u be v's predecessor on a shortest path from s to v. Then, if $d[u] = \delta(s, u)$ and edge (u, v) is relaxed, we have $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$ after the relaxation.



Correctness — Part II

Lemma. Let u be v's predecessor on a shortest path from s to v. Then, if $d[u] = \delta(s, u)$ and edge (u, v) is relaxed, we have $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$ after the relaxation.

Proof. Observe that $\delta(s, v) = \delta(s, u) + w(u, v)$. Suppose that $d[v] > \delta(s, v)$ before the relaxation. (Otherwise, we're done.) Then, the test $d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v)$ succeeds, because $d[v] > \delta(s, v) = \delta(s, u) + w(u, v) = d[u] + w(u, v)$, and the algorithm sets $d[v] = d[u] + w(u, v) = \delta(s, v)$. □



Correctness — Part III

Theorem. Dijkstra's algorithm terminates with $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$ for all $v \in V$.



Correctness — Part III

Theorem. Dijkstra's algorithm terminates with $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$ for all $v \in V$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$ for every $v \in V$ when v is added to S. Suppose u is the first vertex added to S for which $d[u] > \delta(s, u)$. Let y be the first vertex in $V - S$ along a shortest path from s to u, and let x be its predecessor:



S, just before adding u.



Correctness — Part III (continued)



Since u is the first vertex violating the claimed invariant, we have $d[x] = \delta(s, x)$. When x was added to S, the edge (x, y) was relaxed, which implies that $d[y] = \delta(s, y) \leq \delta(s, u) < d[u]$. But, $d[u] \leq d[y]$ by our choice of u. Contradiction. □



Analysis of Dijkstra

```
while $Q \neq \emptyset$
    do $u \leftarrow$ EXTRACT-MIN$(Q)$
        $S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}$
        for each $v \in Adj[u]$
            do if $d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v)$
                then $d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + w(u, v)$
```



Analysis of Dijkstra

$|V|$ times

```
while $Q \neq \emptyset$
    do $u \leftarrow$ EXTRACT-MIN$(Q)$
       $S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}$
       for each $v \in Adj[u]$
           do if $d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v)$
                 then $d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + w(u, v)$
```



Analysis of Dijkstra

$|V|$ times

$degree(u)$ times

```
while $Q \neq \emptyset$
    do $u \leftarrow$ EXTRACT-MIN$(Q)$
       $S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}$
       for each $v \in Adj[u]$
           do if $d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v)$
                 then $d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + w(u, v)$
```



Analysis of Dijkstra

$|V|$ times

$degree(u)$ times

```
while $Q \neq \emptyset$
    do $u \leftarrow$ EXTRACT-MIN$(Q)$
       $S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}$
       for each $v \in Adj[u]$
           do if $d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v)$
                  then $d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + w(u, v)$
```

Handshaking Lemma ⇒ $\Theta(E)$ implicit DECREASE-KEY's.



Analysis of Dijkstra

$|V|$ times

$degree(u)$ times

```
while $Q \neq \emptyset$
    do $u \leftarrow$ EXTRACT-MIN$(Q)$
       $S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}$
       for each $v \in Adj[u]$
           do if $d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v)$
                 then $d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + w(u, v)$
```

Handshaking Lemma $\Rightarrow$ $\Theta(E)$ implicit DECREASE-KEY's.

Time = $\Theta(V \cdot T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}} + E \cdot T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}})$

Note: Same formula as in the analysis of Prim's minimum spanning tree algorithm.



Analysis of Dijkstra (continued)

$$\text{Time} = \Theta(V)\cdot T_{\textsc{Extract-Min}} + \Theta(E)\cdot T_{\textsc{Decrease-Key}}$$

Q	$T_{\textsc{Extract-Min}}$	$T_{\textsc{Decrease-Key}}$	Total



Analysis of Dijkstra (continued)

Time = $\Theta(V) \cdot T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}} + \Theta(E) \cdot T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}}$

Q	$T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}}$	$T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}}$	Total
array	$O(V)$	$O(1)$	$O(V^2)$



Analysis of Dijkstra (continued)

Time = $\Theta(V)\cdot T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}} + \Theta(E)\cdot T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}}$

Q	$T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}}$	$T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}}$	Total
array	$O(V)$	$O(1)$	$O(V^2)$
binary heap	$O(\lg V)$	$O(\lg V)$	$O(E \lg V)$



Analysis of Dijkstra (continued)

Time = $\Theta(V) \cdot T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}} + \Theta(E) \cdot T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}}$

Q	$T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}}$	$T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}}$	Total
array	$O(V)$	$O(1)$	$O(V^2)$
binary heap	$O(\lg V)$	$O(\lg V)$	$O(E \lg V)$
Fibonacci heap	$O(\lg V)$ amortized	$O(1)$ amortized	$O(E + V \lg V)$ worst case



Unweighted graphs

Suppose that $w(u, v) = 1$ for all $(u, v) \in E$.
Can Dijkstra's algorithm be improved?



Unweighted graphs

Suppose that $w(u, v) = 1$ for all $(u, v) \in E$.
Can Dijkstra's algorithm be improved?

- Use a simple FIFO queue instead of a priority queue.



Unweighted graphs

Suppose that $w(u, v) = 1$ for all $(u, v) \in E$. Can Dijkstra's algorithm be improved?

- Use a simple FIFO queue instead of a priority queue.

Breadth-first search

```
while $Q \neq \emptyset$
    do $u \leftarrow$ DEQUEUE$(Q)$
        for each $v \in Adj[u]$
            do if $d[v] = \infty$
                then $d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + 1$
                    ENQUEUE$(Q, v)$
```



Unweighted graphs

Suppose that $w(u, v) = 1$ for all $(u, v) \in E$.
Can Dijkstra's algorithm be improved?

- Use a simple FIFO queue instead of a priority queue.

Breadth-first search

```
while $Q \neq \emptyset$
    do $u \leftarrow$ DEQUEUE$(Q)$
        for each $v \in Adj[u]$
            do if $d[v] = \infty$
                then $d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + 1$
                     ENQUEUE$(Q, v)$
```

Analysis: Time $= O(V + E)$.

Example of breadth-first search



Q:



Example of breadth-first search



0

Q: *a*



Example of breadth-first search



1 1

Q: *a* *b* *d*

Example of breadth-first search



1 2 2

Q: *a* *b* *d* *c* *e*



Example of breadth-first search



2 2

Q: a b d c e

Example of breadth-first search



2

Q: *a* *b* *d* *c* *e*



Example of breadth-first search



3 3

Q: *a b d c e g i*



Example of breadth-first search



3 4

Q: *a b d c e g i f*

© 2001–4 by Charles E. Leiserson *Introduction to Algorithms* November 1, 2004

Example of breadth-first search



4 4

Q: *a b d c e g i f h*



Example of breadth-first search



4

Q: *a b d c e g i f h*



Example of breadth-first search



Q: *a b d c e g i f h*

Example of breadth-first search



Q: *a b d c e g i f h*



Correctness of BFS

```
while $Q \neq \emptyset$
    do $u \leftarrow$ DEQUEUE($Q$)
        for each $v \in Adj[u]$
            do if $d[v] = \infty$
                then $d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + 1$
                     ENQUEUE($Q, v$)
```

Key idea:

The FIFO Q in breadth-first search mimics the priority queue Q in Dijkstra.

- **Invariant:** v comes after u in Q implies that $d[v] = d[u]$ or $d[v] = d[u] + 1$.